

## Homework 5

ECE 1762 Algorithms and Data Structures  
Fall Semester, 2016

**Due: December 13, 2016, 3:30PM (sharp) (in box)**

**Unless otherwise stated, for each algorithm you design you should give a detailed description of the idea, proof of correctness, termination, analysis and proof of time and space complexity. If not, your answer will be incomplete and you will miss credit!**

1. **[NP Completeness, 15 Points]** Problem 34.5-2, (CLRS 2nd edition page 1017) (CLRS 3rd edition page 1100)
2. **[NP Completeness, 10 Points]** The *low degree spanning tree* (LDST) problem is as follows. Given a graph  $G$  and an integer  $k$ , does  $G$  contain a spanning tree such that all vertices in the tree have degree *at most*  $k$ ? Prove that the LDST is NP-hard with a reduction from HAM-PATH.<sup>1</sup>
3. **[Approximation Algorithms, 15 Points]** Given a connected, weighted, undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a subset  $R \subseteq V$  (of “required” vertices), a **minimum Steiner tree** of  $G$  is a tree of minimum weight that contains all the vertices in  $R$ . (It may or may not contain the remaining vertices).

Finding a minimum Steiner tree is NP-hard in general. Consider a class of approximation algorithms that uses the following heuristic strategy:

- (a) Compute the complete distance graph  $G_1 = (R, R \times R)$  between vertices in  $R$ ; each edge  $(u, v)$  in  $G_1$  is weighted with the length of the shortest path from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G$ .
- (b) Compute a minimum spanning tree  $G_2$  of  $G_1$ .
- (c) Map the graph  $G_2$  back into  $G$  by substituting for each edge of  $G_2$  a corresponding shortest path in  $G$ . Call the resulting graph  $G_3$ .
- (d) Compute a minimum spanning tree  $G_4$  of  $G_3$ .
- (e) Iteratively delete all leaves in  $G_4$  that are not vertices in  $R$ .

Of all the minimum Steiner trees for  $G$  and  $R$ , let  $T_{opt}$  be the one with the minimum number of leaves. Let  $T_{approx}$  be the Steiner tree obtained using the strategy outlined above. If  $w(T)$  denotes the total cost of a tree  $T$  (i.e. the sum of all its edge weights), prove that  $w(T_{approx}) \leq 2(1 - \frac{1}{l})w(T_{opt})$ , where  $l$  is the number of leaves in  $T_{approx}$ .

*Hint:* Consider a clockwise circular traversal of  $T_{opt}$ ; such a traversal will start and end at the same vertex and will go along each edge in  $T_{opt}$  exactly twice.

---

<sup>1</sup>For the purposes of this problem you may assume that HAM-PATH, defined analogously to HAM-CYCLE, is NP-complete.