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Why Build BigTable?

- Need highly available, scalable structured data storage
  - URLs: content, crawl metadata, links, anchors, pagerank
  - Per-user data: account info, preferences, recent queries
  - Geography: roads, satellite image data, user annotations

- Google’s workloads
  - Petabytes of data across thousands of servers
  - Billions of URLs with many versions per page (~20K/version)
  - Hundreds of millions of users
  - Thousands of queries per second
  - 100TB+ satellite image data
Why Not Use Commercial DB?

• Scale is too large for most commercial databases

• Even if it weren’t, cost would be very high
  • Building internally means system can be applied across many applications with low incremental cost

• Low-level storage optimizations improve performance
  • Much harder to do when running on top of a database layer
What is BigTable?

- A sparse, distributed, multi-level sorted map:

\[(\text{row:string, column:string, time:int64}) \rightarrow \text{cell content}\]
Column Families

- Column family is a group of column keys
  - Column format is `family:qualifier`
    - Family specified on creation, like traditional column in DBs
    - New qualifiers can be created anytime
  - Each column family may be compressed and stored separately

You can think of each `(row, family)` as a KV store: `(qualifier, time) -> value`

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>column families</th>
<th>anchor</th>
<th>contents</th>
<th>language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ca.mylook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>com.cnn.www</td>
<td>cnnsi.com, $t_4$: CNN cnnsi.com, $t_2$: CNN mylook.ca, $t_1$: CNN.com</td>
<td>$t_6$: &lt;html&gt;... $t_5$: &lt;html&gt;... $t_3$: &lt;html&gt;...</td>
<td>EN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>com.cnn.www/ca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>com.cnnsi.com</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Timestamps

• Each cell can contain multiple versions of same data
  • Version indexed by a 64-bit timestamp
  • Real time or assigned by client

• Per-column-family settings for garbage collection
  • Keep only latest $n$ versions
  • Or keep only versions written since time $t$

• Retrieve most recent version if no version specified
  • If specified, return version where timestamp $\leq$ requested time
BigTable API

• Tables and column families
  • create, delete, update, control rights

• Rows
  • create, delete
  • atomic per-row read and write, read-modify-write
  • Iterate over row ranges

• Multi-row access
  • No transactions across rows
  • Support batching writes across rows

• Client-provided server-side scripts for transformation, filtering, summarization, etc.
BigTable Goals

• Use a cluster of machines to provide a scalable, shared-nothing database

• Persistent and fault-tolerant

• Scalable
  • Support thousands of servers
  • Terabytes of in-memory data, petabyte of disk-based data
  • Millions of reads/writes per second, efficient scans

• Self-managing
  • Servers can be added/removed dynamically
  • Servers adjust to load imbalance
Key Design Ideas

• Goal: use a cluster of machines to provide a scalable, shared-nothing database

• Single master server
  • Performs database schema operation
    • Create table, column families, etc.
  • Uses a coordination server
    • For leader election, storing schema metadata, configuration
  • Dynamically partitions tables across data servers
    • Migrates table partitions (tablets) for load balancing
  • Avoids performing any data operations

• Data (Tablet) servers ...
Key Design Ideas

• Goal: use a cluster of machines to provide a scalable, shared-nothing database

• Master server ...

• Data (Tablet) servers
  • Serve data, i.e., table rows
  • Row format is flexible (unbounded number of columns)
  • Provide low latency access by using write-optimized data store
  • Use GFS for storage and replication
  • Co-locate with GFS servers for locality
### Partitioning Tables: Tablets

- Master partitions tables dynamically by ranges of contiguous rows into **tablets**, typically 100-200MB size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablet 1</th>
<th>anchor</th>
<th>contents</th>
<th>language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ca.mylook</td>
<td>com.cnn.www</td>
<td>cnnsi.com, (t_4): CNN cnnsi.com, (t_2): CNN mylook.ca, (t_1): CNN.com</td>
<td>EN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablet 2</th>
<th>anchor</th>
<th>contents</th>
<th>language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>com.cnn.www/ca</td>
<td>com.cnn.www</td>
<td>(t_6): &lt;html&gt;… (t_5): &lt;html&gt;… (t_3): &lt;html&gt;…</td>
<td>EN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablet 3</th>
<th>anchor</th>
<th>contents</th>
<th>language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>com.cnnsi.com</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A tablet is a unit of distribution and load balancing
  - Each tablet served by a single tablet server
- Users select keys to control placement of related rows
  - Nearby rows will usually be served by the same server
Big Table Architecture

Master server
Performs metadata ops + load balancing

Client
Client Library

Read/write

Tablet servers serve data from their assigned tablets

Cluster Scheduling System
Handles failover and monitoring

GFS
SSTables
And replicas
Logs

Chubby
BigTable Storage

- Use Google file system (GFS) to store log and data files
  - SSTable file format (discussed later)

- Use Chubby distributed lock service for coordination
  - Store bootstrap location of Bigtable data
  - Store schema metadata (e.g., column families for each table)
  - Store access control lists
  - Helps keep track of live tablet servers
  - Helps ensure at most one active master exists
BigTable Implementation

- Library linked with every client
- Master
  - Assigns tablets to tablet servers
  - Handles adding, deleting and merging of tablets
  - Handles addition and removal of tablet servers in the system
- Tablet server
  - Each tablet server typically serves 10-1000 tablets
  - Tablet servers handle read and writes and splitting of tablets
  - Clients access data from tablet servers directly
Locating Tablets

• Client needs to find tablet whose row range covers the target row

• Since tablets may be loaded on any tablet server and may be migrated, how do clients find tablets?

• One option would be to store tablet row-range to tablet server mapping at the BigTable master
  • Central server would become bottleneck in large system

• Instead, BigTable uses a special metadata table containing tablet location information
  • Metadata table is stored using BigTable itself
Metadata Table for Locating Tablets

- **metadata table** helps locate (up to $2^{34}$) user tables
- Each metadata table row locates one tablet
  - Stores the (GFS) file locations that store a tablet
  - Stores current tablet server serving the tablet
  - Row size: 1KB for each 100-200MB tablet
- Clients look up a row by traversing 3-level B+-tree type hierarchy
  - With prefetching+caching, most client operations directly access user tablet servers

Metadata table stored on tablet servers, lookup does not require accessing master
Assigning Tablets to Tablet Servers

• Master keeps track of:
  • Current assignment to tablets to tablet servers
  • Unassigned tablets

• When a master starts up, it
  • Acquires a master lock in Chubby
  • Acquires list of live tablet servers from Chubby
  • Gets list of tablets served by asking each tablet server
    • These are assigned tablets
  • Scans the master table to find all tablets
    • Unassigned tablets = all tablets - assigned tablets
  • Assigns the unassigned tablets to tablet servers
Tablet Storage Layout

• The tablet data and logs are stored in GFS files
• How should the data be stored in the GFS files?

Problem
• GFS supports fast file appends, but not overwrites
• GFS support large file reads and writes
• However, modern web applications require support for both
  • Fast indexed small reads, scan operations (search)
  • High-throughput updates (inserts)
Storage Layout Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sorted Array</th>
<th>Tree, e.g., B+-tree</th>
<th>Log</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Search</strong></td>
<td>O(log(n))</td>
<td>O(log(n))</td>
<td>O(n), very slow since a row may be located anywhere in the log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insert</strong></td>
<td>O(n), very slow since much of the array may need to be rewritten</td>
<td>O(log(n))</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A log appends data, so is a good fit for GFS
- Can we design a structure that improves the search performance of log, without sacrificing much on insert?
Log-Structured Merge (LSM) Trees

- Combine logging with a tree
- Write: All data (key, value) is initially written to an in-memory table called `memtable`
- Flush: `memtable` is periodically written sequentially to an on-disk sorted, immutable file called `sstable`
- Merge: `sstable` is periodically merged into a sorted tree of `sstables` using `immutable` ops

Performance:
- `insert`: $O(1)$
- `search`: $O(log^2(n))$
Immutable Structures

• Only memtable allows reads and writes
• All SSTables are immutable
  • Contain versioned (timestamped) data
• Allows asynchronous deletes
  • A delete is a new version (tombstone)
  • Previous versions deleted asynchronously during compaction
• Mitigates need for locking
  • Since data is not written in place
SSTable

- Immutable, sorted file of key-value pairs (both strings)
  - key is (row, column, timestamp)

- Contains blocks of data and an index
  - Index maps key range to block
  - Index loaded into memory when SSTable is opened

- Key lookup requires single disk seek, per SSTable
  - Read block into memory (slow)
  - Look up key using binary search within block (fast)
Putting Everything Together

- Clients can group one or more column families in a table, each group in a tablet has its own SSTable.

- All SSTables of a tablet served by same tablet server.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Group</th>
<th>Anchor</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>ca.mylook</td>
<td>ca.mylook</td>
<td>EN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>com.cnn.www/ca</td>
<td>mylook.ca, t₁: CNN.com</td>
<td>t₅: &lt;html&gt;…</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>com.cnn.www</td>
<td>com.cnn.www</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>com.cnn.www/ca</td>
<td>mylook.ca, t₁: CNN.com</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>com.cnnsi.com</td>
<td>com.cnnsi.com</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagram: SSTable storage distribution for different column groups.
Optimizing Reads: Caching

• Cache reads at tablet servers with two-level caching

• Scan cache
  • Cache key-value pairs from SSTable
  • Temporal locality

• Block cache
  • SSTable blocks read from GFS
  • Spatial locality
Optimizing Reads: Bloom Filters

- Reads need to read from many SSTables that make up table
- Each SSTable stores a bloom filter
- Bloom filter is a space efficient data structure that returns true when the (key, value) pair exists in the SSTable
- Helps reduce disk accesses when the SSTable doesn’t have matching key, value pair
Optimizing Writes: Single Commit Log per Tablet Server

- Use one log per tablet server, not one per tablet
  - Reduces the number of files written, improves seek locality, reduces overhead, etc.
  - Different files would mean writes to different locations on disk
- Complicates recovery
  - Few log entries associated with any one tablet in the log
  - Run a parallel sort by key, then log entries for each server are close together
Performance

- Random reads are slower than all other operations
- Sequential reads/writes, random writes, perform better, are comparable
- Random reads from memory are much faster
- Scans are even faster

![Graph showing performance comparison]
Bigtable: Pros, Cons

• Pros
  • Can handle massive data and massive objects scalably
  • Supports low-latency access for small data sizes
  • Supports tables with thousands of columns efficiently
  • Allows applications to ensure data locality

• Cons
  • Weak consistency model (row-level atomic updates)
    • No table-wide integrity constraints
    • However, sufficient for many applications
  • Writing large objects (e.g., videos) causes much write amplification
Some Lessons Learned

• Many types of failure possible, not only fail-stop
  • Memory and network corruption, large clock skew, hung machines, extended and asymmetric network partitions, bugs in other systems, planned and unplanned hardware maintenance
  • Big systems need constant systems-level monitoring

• Delay adding new features until needed
  • E.g., Initially planned for multi-row transaction APIs
Conclusions

• Bigtable is a high performance, highly available, massive database
  • Easy to scale by adding tablet servers to the system
  • Separating storage from serving data simplifies design, fault tolerance, self management, etc.

• If you are Google
  • Significant advantages of building own storage system
  • Data model applicable to many of their applications

• Very influential
  • Apache Hbase based on BigTable design
  • Apache Cassandra offers BigTable data model
Discussion
Q1

• Bigtable is called a NoSQL database
  • What are the differences between a NoSQL database and a traditional database?
  • What are the benefits of NoSQL databases?
Q2

• What are the most significant differences between GFS and Bigtable in terms of workloads?
Q3

• What are the most significant differences between GFS and Bigtable in terms of system architecture?
Q4

- How is fault tolerance provided in Bigtable? How does it compare with fault tolerance in GFS?
Q5

• BigTable ensures atomic reads/writes at row granularity. Why is this consistency guarantee relatively easy to implement in BigTable?